Wikiprint Book

Title: EwEugBalancingaModel

Subject: Ecopath Developer Site - EwEugBalancingaModel

Version: 3

Date: 2024-04-16 20:03:48

Table of Contents

7.2 Balancing a model

Once you have entered sufficient input parameters you can proceed to estimate the parameters of Ecopath by selecting Basic estimates under the *Parameterization node* in the *Navigator window*. The missing parameters will be estimated so that mass balance is achieved.

Important note: If Ecopath has NOT been balanced already since opening or making changes to the input parameters, selecting any of the forms under the *Parameterization node* in the *Navigator window* will cause Ecopath to attempt to balance the model. Note also that you will not be able to balance your model if the sum of the Diet composition for each predator does not sum to 1. Return to the *Diet composition* form and fix the problem if this is the case.

Below are some important notes to help you during model parameterization (balancing). Before commencing balancing, we recommend you first read Notes on parameterizing an Ecopath model and are familiar with the introductory material on Ecopath parameterization (Mortality for a prey is consumption for a predator, The energy balance of a box and On the need for input parameters).

Problems in parameter estimation will be shown in the *Status panel*. Two types of message may be displayed in the *Status panel* while you are balancing your model:

Information messages [Image(wiki:EwEugImages:03000051.png)?]. Information messages provide feedback on regular events in EwE. Information events are confirmations of things that went well. Examples are opening the model; successful edits; and successful balancing (i.e., parameterization) of the model. Warning messages [Image(wiki:EwEugImages:03000052.png)?]. Warnings occur when EwE was not able to complete an action and requires the user to fix a problem, usually with the input data. For example, one of the most important of these is when parameterization fails (i..e., the model failed to balance). When this occurs, you will get the message "Your model is NOT balanced! Computed Ecotrophic Efficiencies (*EE*) invalid for one or more group(s)." Clicking on the "+" icon next to this message expands the message to show which groups are causing the problem.

An exhaustive set of guidelines for how a model should be balanced cannot be given. However, if it existed, such a set would include the following general guidelines:

- Make sure to document what is done in the balancing process by entering remarks for all parameters and to extract these subsequently. A model where the balancing process is not appropriately documented is not likely to be publishable;
- Remember which data are the more reliable and avoid changing these;
- Formulate assumptions and argumentation for changes: the ones easy to explain are likely to be the better assumptions;
- Start by looking at the estimated values. Are the *EE* values possible (less than 1)? Are the *GE* (= *P/Q*) values physiologically realistic (0.1-0.3 for most groups, perhaps lower for top predators and higher for very small organisms, (e.g., up to 0.5 for bacteria). If not decide from where the problem is the biggest if you want to balance your model starting from the bottom (producers) or from the top down;
- Search out one group with a bigger problem and try to solve this. Are the *P/B, Q/B* and *B* values appropriate for this group? What would happen to, e.g., the *GE* and the *EE* if you changed the parameters? If the problem is the consumption by predators, look at the Predation mortality form, and identify the quantitatively most important predators. Check the diet compositions and *B* and *Q/B* values for these predators;
- Continue for as long as necessary, documenting carefully what changes are made. It may be a good idea to save the data file under a new name each time changes are made (e.g. starting with 'Model_1', on to 'Model_2' etc.);
- You may get warnings that the 'Respiration cannot be negative'. If this happens the second master equation of Ecopath has been violated. We have:

Consumption = production + respiration + unassimilated food,

or $Q = P + R + U$.

Expressing this relative to consumption we have:

$P/Q + R/Q + U/Q = 1$.

Of these *P/Q* is entered as *GE* or the gross food conversion efficiency and *U/Q* us the proportion of food that is not assimilated. If *GE* + *U/Q* exceeds unity then *R/Q* and hence the respiration, *R*, has to be negative. You will need to reduce the production/consumption (*GE*) ratio by lowering the production/biomass (*P/B*) ratio or increasing the consumption/biomass (*Q/B*) ratio, and/or reduce the proportion of unassimilated food;

- Examine the respiration/biomass (R/B) ratios for each group. Generally this ratio reflects activity level. For fish it should as a rule be in the range 1-10 year-1, for copepods perhaps around 50-100. Please consult physiology handbooks for more information. If the ratio seems high it may be necessary to change the (assumed) proportion of the food that is not assimilated on the basic input form;
- Examine the Electivity form. Do the preferences seem reasonable?
- Examine the Predation mortalities under the *Mortalities* node in the *Navigator window*. The predation mortality spreadsheet will show you how important the various predators are for any group. Does this show what you expect? Are the predators shown to be the most important predators in accordance with what you expect? If not, re-evaluate your model's diet compositions. The information on the mortality forms is very important!

- Noting how the energy balance of a group is formulated, it is clear that, for instance, increasing the proportion of the consumption that is not assimilated will leave less energy to respiration (production being unaffected). This will result in a lower R/B ratio and a larger flow to the detritus. The latter may be necessary to balance the model if there is only little system surplus production.

See below for notes about some common causes of problems during balancing.

Problem 1: Loops

In cases where P/B is to be estimated for groups that feed on each others (cycles) the program may first estimate a P/B for one groups based on the consumption by the other groups. Subsequently it may estimate the P/B for the second group based on the consumption by the first, and then it may continue with the P/B for the first again, and so on in a loop. The result may be completely unrealistic parameter estimates.

It is necessary to break such loops, e.g., by entering the P/B for one of the groups. If all ecotrophic efficiencies are low it indicates that the trophic transfer efficiencies are low. This may be OK for a system with high production and low abundance of organisms. It may however also indicate that the estimates of the biomasses in the system are too low.

Problem 2: Cannibalism (0-order cycles)

Groups where 0-order cycles (cannibalism) are important should be broken into two or more groups. Such cases occur, for example, when a predatory fish feeds on fish of the same species or grouping. The prey fish will, however, be smaller fish, and often the P/B value for the group is based on the recruited part of the population only, and thus does not cover the dynamics of the juveniles, (which generally have much higher P/B values than the recruited part of the population). The solution may be to split the group in an adult and a juvenile fish group. This will also be an advantage for subsequent Ecosim simulations.

Remember that the gross food conversion efficiency or GE is the P/Q ratio. Typically this ratio is in the range of 10-30%. If the proportion of the 0-order cycle is in the same range there may not be any production left over for other purposes (predation and export). As a guideline if a 0-order cycle includes more than say 5% of the diet composition it is necessary to consider if it would be better to split the group in two.

Problem 3: Estimation of predator consumption and prey production

In this example it is assumed that the consumption is unknown for the predator and the (used part of the) production, (i.e., the B, P/B or EE) unknown for all of the prey groups. In this case, it will not be possible for the program to calculate meaningful parameters and it will (probably) resort to the trivial solution: set the Q/B for the predator to zero, and see what can be estimated for the other groups. The problem is easily identified from an examination of the estimated parameters and statistics. The solution may well be to either input a gross efficiency for the predator or one of the missing input parameters for one of the prey groups.

```
                    ┌─────────────────┐
                    │                 │
                    │  Q/B UNKNOWN    │           5
                    │                 │
                    └─────────────────┘
```